



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,539	03/27/2006	Henryk Frenzel	2003P12502	7154
24131	7590	07/22/2008		
LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP				
P O BOX 2480				
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480				
EXAMINER				
CHIEM, DINH D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2883				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/22/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/573,539

Applicant(s)

FRENZEL, HENRYK

Examiner

ERIN D. CHIEM

Art Unit

2883

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ERIN D. CHIEM.(3) Attorney Werner Stemer.(2) Primary Examiner Jim Hughes.(4) Alfred Dassler.Date of Interview: 15 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 14.Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant queried whether a declaration to the product-by-process limitation "molded interconnect device" would be considered. Primary Examiner Hughes responded that a declaration would be considered, if appropriate per the MPEP, and if the declaration is persuasive then the application would be placed in allowable condition or prosecution will reopen..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/James P. Hughes/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2883
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.